

Text taken from an e-mail sent to ACART for its consultation on the review of the donation Guidelines

Sent by:

Name withheld 11

06/09/2017 06:41

To:

acart@moh.govt.nz,

cc:

jonathan.coleman@parliament.govt.nz, bill.english@parliament.govt.nz, paula.bennett@parliament.govt.nz,

Subject:

Feedback: Challenge to ACART Reproductive Change

The rationale for change to 'Donation Guidelines' can not include indeterminate sexual identity as it stands as a glaring legislative addendum for same sex couple nor signature to the universal declarations on Marriage and or protection of the family as one man, one woman.

This advisory council's Act does not go unnoticed as overtly unlawful attempt at legislation accommodate individuals and couples - regardless of any advance in reproductive cell technology based on adoption laws.

1. Judicial challenge to a family being 'created' has not been exhausted
2. Advance in reproductive technology does not 'remove' biology link in the law
3. Arrogant disregard of ALL of socio-cultural society to accommodate the Act's challenge is from impermanent evidence
4. Explicit language is required on having to 'go overseas' to a country where surrogacy adoption is legal

The Act both heavily precludes and further burdens a woman's reproductive power and the future rights of a child to be born to biological mother and a father.

The advisory council is incomplete in its 'open government' effort to weaken current public law regarding current adoption law which rationally, legislatively includes the child as 'embryo'

In conclusion, approval of this Act is seated at the very heart of democratic process so as to be be strenuously opposed.