

## **ACART Consultation on Informed Consent. Submission by Ian Hassall.**

I am responding to your July, 2015 Consultation Document

Question 1: a) No. I don't believe the case has been made. If the current arrangements are working well as you say at Para 48 then it seems as if the proposed changes are being made for the sake of form and are therefore an unnecessary complication that will cost money and time.

b) No. S46 of the HART Act seems to cover the need.

Q2: a) No.

b) Yes to consent in writing but No to 'all' such consent. If proposals e) and f) are adopted getting timely written consent (withdrawal or variation of consent from a donor who is remote may be impossible. Not so at the time of donation.

Q3: a) Yes

b) No

Q4 a) Yes

b) No

c) No

Q5: a) Yes (It being the donor's responsibility to provide the clinic with up-to-date contact details

b) No

Q6: a) Yes

b) NA

Q7: a) Yes

b) Yes

Q8: a) Yes

b) Yes

Q9: a) Yes

b) No

Q10: a) No

b) No

Dr Ian Hassall